Articulated avian remains from the early Oligocene of Poland adds to our understanding of Passerine evolution
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ABSTRACT

In total, less than 50 specimens of the Passeriformes are known from the Paleogene, which contrasts with the fact that now it is the largest and most diverse order of birds. The so far described fossils include only three nearly complete specimens, an articulated wing and legs, and a handful of isolated bones. This paper describes a new species and genus of a passerine bird the size of the extant *Parus major*, imprinted on a slab and a counter slab of the siliceous clayey shales of the Outer Carpathians in southeastern Poland, and dated to the Rupelian, early Oligocene (ca. 31 m.y.a.). It is one of the very few passerine specimens from the Paleogene with bones in articulation, and thus provides a window into the ancestral osteology of passeriforms, which is characterized by a mosaic of characters typical for extant Oscines and Suboscines. The bird shows a unique manus, with a relatively short carpometacarpus and cleaver-shaped phalanx proximalis digitii majoris. Besides bones, fragmentary feathers are also imprinted on both slabs.
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INTRODUCTION

The fossil remains of possible passerine birds dated to the Eocene were described for the first time about 30 years ago in Australia (Boles, 1995, 1997). The findings stimulated the theory of the Southern Hemisphere origin of Passeriformes based on DNA sequences (Ericson et al., 2002) – a theory whose details were later challenged (e.g., Mayr, 2013). Since then, three nearly complete specimens of unresolved affinities within Passeriformes, were described from the early Oligocene deposits in Europe: Wieslochia weissi from Germany (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a), Jamna szybiaki and Resoviaornis jamrozi – both from Poland (Bochenski et al., 2011, 2013). An early Oligocene passerine of Lubéron, France, has not been described yet (Mayr, 2009). Other, less well-preserved Oligocene passerines, include an articulated wing (Mayr and Manegold, 2006b), several dozen isolated wing bones (Mourer-Chauviré et al., 1989; Manegold, 2008), articulated (fragments of) legs (Bochenski et al., 2014a, 2014b), and two fragments of the tarsometatarsus (Mourer-Chauviré et al., 1989, 2004; Mourer-Chauviré, 2006). In total, less than 50 specimens of passerines are known from the Paleogene; most of them are isolated bones and rather poorly preserved imprints on slabs.

In this paper, we describe two partial wings and shoulder girdle found in southeastern Poland (Figure 1). As it is often the case in Paleogene birds, our specimen shows a mosaic of characters typical of extant Oscines and Suboscines. Since the bones are articulated and come from the same bird, the specimen provides a unique opportunity to learn its set of characters, and therefore adds to our understanding of early passerine birds.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The specimen consists of a slab and counterslab (Figure 2), with imprints of avian wing bones and feathers (ISEA AF/WIN2a+b). The skeletal elements are preserved as a mixture of bone imprints and remnants of fossilized bone tissue. Therefore, the outlines of bones are well visible but many small details are not recognizable.

Osteological terminology follows Baumel and Witmer (1993). The dimensions are in millimeters, and they represent the greatest length along the longitudinal axis of the bone. The fossil was compared with specimens from the osteological collection of the Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, and with published data on the osteology of extant and fossil Passeriformes (Acanthisittidae, Oscines and Suboscines) as well as extinct Zygodactylidae that are morphologically very similar to passerines (Mayr, 2008, 2009). The fossiliferous horizon of the type locality, Winnica, has been dated based on the fish assemblage and correlated with the calcareous nanoplankton, in accordance with the correlation given by Kotlarczyk et al. (2006).

Besides conventional photography, the specimen was studied and documented by micro-computed tomography (CT) to visualize possible structures hidden in the matrix. Images were acquired with a SkyScan micro-CT device (model 1173, Bruker) at Department of Palaeontology, University of Vienna, Austria. The processing of the tiff-image stacks was performed with Amira v.5.4.1.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Class AVES Linnaeus, 1758
Order PASSERIFORMES Linnaeus, 1758
Passeriformes incertae sedis
Genus WINNICAVIS gen. nov.
zoobank.org/FD341817-A6F6-4C99-8AFA-CFAA6E0F3F44
Type species. Winnicavis gorskii sp. nov.
Etymology. The genus name is the name of the type locality (Winnica) merged with the Latin word for bird (avis).

Taxonomic remarks. A small passerine which is distinguished from all other non-passerine taxa by the combination of the following characters: 1) the coracoid is long and slender; 2) the processus pro-coracoideus (coracoid) is somewhat enlarged; 3) the tuberculum ventrale (humerus) is well marked; 4) the ulna is slender and longer than the humerus; 5) the olecranon (ulna) is elongated and tapers; 6)

FIGURE 2. Winnicavis gorskii gen. et sp. nov., holotype, specimen ISEA AF/WIN2a+b from Winnica, Poland, early Oligocene (top left - main slab; bottom left - counterslab) and interpretative drawings (right). Left (L) and right (R) elements are indicated. Abbreviations: cmc – carpometacarpus, cr – os carpi radiale, cu – os carpi ulnare, dmaj – phalanx distalis digiti majoris, pmaj – phalanx proximalis digiti majoris, pmin – phalanx digiti minoris. Numbers in circles on the slabs: 1 – primaries, 2 – secondaries, 3 – underwing coverts, 4 – primary coverts.
the cotyla dorsalis (ulna) is proximodistally long and protrudes dorsally; 7) there is a shallow saddle between the olecranon and shaft (ulna); 8) the spatium intermetacarpale (carpometacarpus) is long and narrow; 9) the processus intermetacarpalis (carpometacarpus) reaches to the os metacarpale minus; 10) the processus dentiformis (carpometacarpus) is present; 11) the os metacarpale minus (carpometacarpus) protrudes farther distally than the os metacarpale majus.

**Differential diagnosis.** A small passerine bird, approximately the size of a Great Tit *Parus major*.

*Winnicavis gorskii* gen. et sp. nov., differs from:

* All extant Passeriformes in the unique combination of the following characters: coracoid with a rounded and not hooked processus acrocoracoideus and enlarged processus procoracoideus; very stout humerus with broad proximal epiphysis; carpometacarpus proportionally shorter (in relation to the humerus and/or ulna); carpometacarpus with the distal end of the os metacarpale minus protruding only a little farther distally than the os metacarpale majus; and phalanx proximalis digiti majoris is cleaver-shaped and widens considerably cranio-caudally towards its distal end.

* The early Oligocene *Wieslochia weissi* in: ulna with proximodistally long cotyla dorsalis; and carpometacarpus proportionally shorter (in relation to the humerus and/or ulna).

* The early Oligocene *Jamna szybiaki* in: coracoid with enlarged processus procoracoideus; humerus with short crista deltopectoralis that merges gradually with the shaft; ulna, in relation to the humerus, clearly longer; carpometacarpus proportionally shorter (in relation to the humerus and/or ulna); carpometacarpus with the distal end of the os metacarpale minus protruding only a little farther distally than the os metacarpale majus; and phalanx proximalis digiti majoris is cleaver-shaped and widens considerably cranio-caudally towards its distal end.

* The early Oligocene *Resoviaornis jamrozi* in: coracoid with a rounded and not hooked processus acrocoracoideus and enlarged processus procoracoideus; humerus with crista deltopectoralis that merges gradually with the shaft; ulna, in relation to the humerus, clearly longer; carpometacarpus with the distal end of the os metacarpale minus protruding only a little farther distally than the os metacarpale majus; and phalanx proximalis digiti majoris is cleaver-shaped and widens considerably cranio-caudally towards its distal end.

* The extinct passerine-like family *Zygodactyliidae* in: ulna, in relation to the humerus, clearly longer; ulna with elongated and tapered olecranon.

* The seemingly similar extinct and extant Coraciiformes in the presence of processus dentiformis (carpometacarpus) and the unique combination of the following characters: coracoid with elongated processus acrocoracoideus and somewhat enlarged but clearly smaller processus procoracoideus; carpometacarpus with processus intermetacarpalis reaching to the os metacarpale minus, and the os metacarpale minus protruding farther distally than the os metacarpale majus.

*Winnicavis gorskii* gen. et sp. nov.

**Etymology.** The species is named after Andrzej Górski (Bielsko-Biała, Poland) who collected the specimen.

**Holotype.** Two incomplete wings with a shoulder girdle, partially articulated, preserved on two slabs (Figures 2-6), deposited in the Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland (ISEA AF/WIN2a+b).

**Type locality and horizon.** The specimen was found at Winnica, about 12 km northwest of Krosno, Podkarpackie Province, southeastern Poland, in marine deposits of the Menilite Shales (Menilite Formation of the Silesian Unit) in the Outer Carpathians. Geographical coordinates of the Winnica exposure: 49°37.94'N, 21°40.44'E. The outcrop is located on the right high bank of the Jasikowa River. The horizon contains fossil fishes such as *Oligodon budensis* (Heckel, 1856), *Repropca sabbai* (Paucà, 1929) and *Palaeogadus simionescui* (Simionescu, 1905) belonging to the ichthyofauna of IPM2 Zone (Kotlarczyk et al., 2006), correlated with the calcareous nannoplankton of NP23 zone by Martini (1971) (Berggren et al., 1995; Kotlarczyk et al., 2006), Rupelian, early Oligocene, ca. 31 m.y.a.

**Diagnosis.** As for the genus.

**Measurements.** Humerus, 18.6 (right); ulna, 24.5 (left), 23.4 (right); radius, 22.3 (left), 21.4 (right); carpometacarpus, 9.4 (left); phalanx proximalis digiti majoris, 4.3 (left); phalanx distalis digiti majoris, 3.1 (left); phalanx digiti minoris, 1.5 (left).

**Description and Comparison**

**Coracoid.** An imprint of the extremitas omalis of the right coracoid is visible on the main slab. The bone is relatively long and slender as in extant Passeriformes, and embedded with its dorsal side in the matrix. The lateral edge of the shaft is almost straight; it curves laterad at the sternal end. Unlike most modern passerines (Oscines and Suboscines) and the early Oligocene *Resoviaornis jamrozi* (Bochenski et al., 2013), the tip of the processus acrocoracoideus is not hooked but rounded (Figure 3.1). This condition resembles that in
extant Acanthisittidae, fossil *Primozygodactylus* (Mayr, 1998; Mayr and Zelenkov, 2009), *Zygodactylus* (Mayr, 2008), and two Oligocene passerines *Wieslochia weissi* and *Jamna szybiaki* (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a; Bochenski et al., 2011). As in most Passeriformes, the processus acrocoracoideus itself is elongated; in Coraciiformes it is usually short and stout (Mayr and Mourer-Chauviré, 2000; Mayr et al., 2004; own data). As in *Wieslochia* and some extant Suboscines (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a), the processus procoracoideus is somewhat enlarged (Figure 3.1); in extant Acanthisittidae and Oscines it is greatly reduced, whereas in most extinct and extant Coraciiformes it is well-developed, broad and greatly enlarged (Mayr and Mourer-Chauviré, 2000; Mayr et al., 2004; own data). The facies articularis humeralis does not project laterad (Figure 3.1).

**Scapula.** The relatively wide scapula is embedded with its lateral side in the matrix. The facies articularis humeralis is rounded and stands out from the corpus scapulae. The dorsal margin of the bone is straight.

**Humerus.** The right humerus is imprinted whole whereas the left is missing its proximal end. Both humeri are embedded with their caudal sides in the matrix. The bone is of similar length to that in extant *Parus major*. Unlike most extant Passeriformes but similar to the Oligocene *Resoviaornis jamrozi* and *Jamna szybiaki* it is very stout, and the proximal epiphysis is wide dorso-ventrally but relatively short. The imprint of the caput humeri is deep, which indicates that the caput humeri was considerably bulging caudally. As in many extant Passeriformes, the Oligocene *Wieslochia weissi* (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a) and *Resoviaornis jamrozi* (Bochenski et al., 2013), the crista deltopectoralis is relatively short and reaches approximately as far distally as the crista bicipitalis; in *Jamna szybiaki* it is longer and reaches about one-third of the total length of the bone (Bochenski et al., 2011). Unlike both *Resoviaornis* and *Jamna* the crista deltopectoralis merges gradually with the shaft. The tuberculum ventrale (better visible in

*FIGURE 3. Winnicavis gorskii gen. et sp. nov., holotype, specimen ISEA AF/WIN2a+b from Winnica, Poland, early Oligocene. Scapula, coracoid and humerus, main slab. 1- standard photograph, 2 – 4 sequence of CT scans from the slab’s surface toward its interior. Abbreviations: fah – facies articularis humeralis, pa – processus acrocoracoideus, pp – processus procoracoideus, tv – tuberculum ventrale.*
scans) is well marked as in Passeriformes but not as pronounced as in Charadriiformes (Figure 3). The fossa pneumotricipitalis is not recognizable even in the scans. The processus supracondylaris dorsalis is not visible either due to its absence or the fact that both distal humeri are superimposed on the ulna and radius. The processus flexorius appears not to protrude far distally as in extant Passeriformes but the condition is not certain due to the superimposed ulna.

**Ulna.** Both ulnas are embedded with their dorsal sides in the matrix. The bone is long and slender – a little longer than the humerus, which agrees with Zygodactylidae (Mayr, 1998; Weidig, 2010) and many Passeriformes including the three known from the Oligocene: *Wieslochia*, *Jamna*, and *Resoviaornis* (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a; Bochenski et al., 2011, 2013). Under the light microscope the olecranon appears to be short and rounded, but the scans reveal that it projects far proximally, tapers, and its shape resembles that of the extant genus *Corvus* (Figure 4). In *Primozygodactylus* and *Zygodactylus*, the olecranon is short and stout (Mayr, 1998, 2008). As in most Oscines but contrary to *Wieslochia*, the cotyla dorsalis is proximodistally long, and it protrudes dorsally forming a deep furrow in the matrix. As in many extant Passeriformes and the Oligocene *Jamna* and *Resoviaornis*, there is a shallow saddle in the caudal (posterior) margin of the bone between the olecranon and the shaft (better visible on the right bone). The papillae remigiales caudales cannot be discerned which implies that they were either absent or small as in many Passeriformes and Zygodactylidae (Mayr, 1998, 2008; Weidig, 2010), rather than well-pronounced as in many Piciformes.

**Carpometacarpus.** The complete left carpometacarpus is embedded in the matrix with its dorsal side on the main slab and the ventral side on the counterslab; the right bone is represented by an imprint of its small proximal-most fragment on the
The processus extensorius is slender and points proximally. The spatium intermetacarpale is long and narrow as in many Passeriformes. The processus intermetacarpalis reaches to the os metacarpale minus, which is also documented in the scans of the main slab (Figure 5). Its presence is a derived feature of the passerines (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a, 2006b); it is also present among others in Piciformes, Zygodactylidae and some Coraciiformes (Mayr, 1998, 2008, 2009; Mayr et al., 2004; Weidig, 2010). The sulcus tendineus (visible in the scans) is deep, straight, and follows the cranial margin of the shaft as in many Passeriformes. An imprint on the cranial margin of the bone (main slab) indicates the presence of the processus dentiformis, which is present in Acanthisittidae and typical for many oscines and suboscines (Pocock, 1966; Mourer-Chauviré et al., 1989; Mayr and Manegold, 2006a, 2006b) but absent in Coraciidae (Mayr, 2006). The distal end of the os metacarpale minus protrudes only a little farther distally than the os metacarpale majus, which resembles the condition in Zygodactylidae, extant Suboscines, early Oligocene Wieslochia weissi and several unassociated carpometacarpi of suboscine affinities from Germany (Mourer-Chauviré et al., 1989; Millener and Worthy, 1991, figure 12; Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a, 2006b; Manegold, 2008). In extant Oscines and the Oligocene Jamna szybiaki and Resoviaomis jamrozi the

FIGURE 5. Winnicavis gorskii gen. et sp. nov., holotype, specimen ISEA AF/WIN2a+b from Winnica, Poland, early Oligocene. Left carpometacarpus, main slab. 1– standard photograph, 2 – 4 sequence of CT scans from the slab’s surface toward its interior. Abbreviations: im – processus intermetacarpalis, mn – os metacarpale minus, mj – os metacarpale majus, pd – processus dentiformis, si – spatium intermetacarpale, st – sulcus tendineus.
The distal end of the os metacarpale minus is square and protrudes farther distally, in Coraciiformes the condition varies depending on the group (Mayr et al., 2004). The ventral surface of the synostosis metacarpalis distalis bears a shallow fossa (visible in the counterslab as a small bulging). The condition is in a way similar to that of most extant Oscines and the Oligocene Jamna szybiaki and Resoviaornis jamrozi that show a similar but usually deeper fossa (Mourer-Chauviré et al., 1989; Manegold, 2008; Bochenski et al., 2011, 2013). Only a few Suboscines bear a similar fossa, which can be best explained as convergence (Manegold, 2008).

Other elements of the wing. The phalanx proximalis digitii majoris is embedded with its ventral side in the matrix. It is cleaver-shaped and widens considerably cranio-caudally towards its distal end (Figure 6), which resembles the condition in Suboscines and an Oligocene suboscine-like passerine SMF Av 504 (Mayr and Manegold, 2006b); in Acanthisittidae, Oscines, and the Oligocene Resoviaornis jamrozi this phalanx is approximately of constant width (Bochenski et al., 2013) whereas in Jamna szybiaki it widens only a little cranio-caudally (Bochenski et al., 2011). As in Passeriformes, there is a clear fossa ventralis (visible as a bulging in the counterslab) in this phalanx, and the distal end does not bear any tubercles or projections. As in extant Passeriformes, Jamna szybiaki and Resoviaornis jamrozi, the phalanx distalis digitii majoris is clearly shorter than the phalanx proximalis; in the suboscines-like SMF Av 504 the two phalanges were equal in length (Mayr and Manegold, 2006b).

Proportions. The ratio of humerus length to ulna length (0.78) is similar to that in Resoviaornis jamrozi (0.73) and Wieslochia weissi (0.74) on the one hand, and some extant passerines (e.g., Motacilla alba – 0.76) on the other (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a; Bochenski et al., 2013; our own data). Jamna szybiaki and all species of the Zygodactylidae have relatively shorter ulna and therefore their brachial index is somewhat larger (0.88–0.96) (Table 1). The carpometacarpus is proportionally shorter (in relation to the humerus and/or ulna) than in most extant or extinct passerines.

Feathers. Multiple feather imprints are visible on both slabs (Figure 2) but none of the imprints

---

**TABLE 1.** Measurements (in millimeters) of *Winnicavis gorskii* gen. et sp. nov., holotype, specimen ISEA AF/WIN2a+b from Winnica, Poland, early Oligocene compared with those of all Oligocene passerine and zygodactylid birds. Abbreviations: cmc – carpometacarpus, hum – humerus. Letters in superscript indicate publications that were checked for measurements. a – Bochenski et al. (2011); b – Bochenski et al. (2013); c – Mayr and Manegold (2004); d – Mayr and Manegold (2006a); e – Weidig (2010); f – Mayr (1998). Asterisk (*) indicates arithmetic mean of left and right bone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>hum</th>
<th>ulna</th>
<th>cmc</th>
<th>hum/ulna</th>
<th>hum/cmc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winnicavis gorskii gen. et sp. nov.</td>
<td>ISEA AF/WIN2a+b</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>24.0*</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamna szybiaki</td>
<td>MSMD Av JAM-6</td>
<td>17.2*</td>
<td>18.5*</td>
<td>9.4*</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resoviaornis jamrozi</td>
<td>MSMD Av WR-9a+b</td>
<td>12.4*</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wieslochia weissi</td>
<td>SMF Av 497</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wieslochia weissi</td>
<td>SMNK-PAL 3980</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eozygodactylus americanus</td>
<td>USNM 299821</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eozygodactylus americanus</td>
<td>WDC-CGR-014</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primozygodactylus danielsi</td>
<td>SMF-ME 2522 (holotype)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primozygodactylus major</td>
<td>SMF-ME 1758</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primozygodactylus ballmanni</td>
<td>SMF-ME 2108</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primozygodactylus ballmanni</td>
<td>HLMD-Me 15396</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shows a complete feather, and the feathers superimpose on one another, which further hampers observations. Vanes with distinct barbs can be recognized in many feathers. Fragments of at least 12 flight feathers from the right wing can be distinguished on the counterslab. They appear to represent both primaries (1) and secondaries (2). At least a dozen small contour feathers are visible on both slabs. Those near the distal half of the left ulna (main slab) are probably underwing coverts (3), two feathers of more compact structure near the right ulna (main slab) are probably primary coverts (4). Other feathers are unidentifiable.

DISCUSSION

As in all other passerine birds described so far from the Oligocene (Wieslochia weissi, Jamna szybiaki, Resoviaornis jamrozi), the exact systematic position of Winnicavis gorskii is also a scientific challenge. Morphological characters indicate that it belongs in Passeriformes, but its more precise affinities remain obscure. We are even unsure whether to classify it within Oscines or Suboscines because the specimen shows a mosaic of characters typical for one or the other taxonomic group. This feature, together with the fact that all Oligocene avian specimens clearly differ from one another in many characters (Mayr and Manegold, 2004, 2006a; Bochenski et al., 2011, 2013, 2014a, 2014b), points to a great diversity among early passerines in Europe. One of the rather few common features among them is the small size (Mayr and Manegold, 2006a; Manegold, 2008; Mayr, 2009), which is confirmed by Winnicavis gorskii.

The flight mode in birds is strictly connected with the wing morphology that includes feathers and bones (Norberg, 1979; Bochenski and Bochenski, 1992; Nudds et al., 2004, 2007; Nudds, 2007; Simons, 2010; Wang et al., 2011). Although we do not know anything about the flight feathers of Winnicavis gorskii, we can deduce from the humerus to ulna ratio that it likely represented the "passerine-type flight", which is characterized by flapping phases and pauses, as opposed to continuous flapping, flapping and soaring, and flapping and gliding (Bruderer et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011). The length of avian manus ( carpometacarpus + phalanx proximalis digitii majoris + phalanx distalis digitii majoris), of which the carpometacarpus is the main bone, is not correlated with distinct patterns of wing movements; its relative length can be almost identical in various kinematic avian groups (Nudds et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2011). Therefore, we may assume that the relatively short carpometacarpus in Winnicavis gorskii did not necessarily influence its flight style; its small size could have been compensated for by the primaries, which seems to take place among extant tits (Norberg, 1979).

Despite its limitations, micro-computed tomography proved to be useful because it enabled us to see fossilized bone remains hidden beneath the surface of the matrix. Some of the observed features are important in the diagnostics of the specimen (e.g., the well-developed tuberculum ventrale, or the shape of the olecranon). We postulate to use the method on a more regular basis, especially when the specimens are very fragile and therefore difficult to prepare and/or transfer to a resin matrix.

The preserved skeletal elements of Winnicavis gorskii are articulated with one another and disarticulated from bones that are missing. This suggests that the carcass reached the bottom of the ocean shortly after death, where it was buried in the sediments in a quiet anoxic environment without underwater turbulences that would stimulate its disarticulation (Bienkowska-Wasiluk, 2010). In this respect it resembles all other Oligocene birds and most fishes described so far from the Carpathians (Kotlarczyk et al., 2006; Bochenski and Bochenski, 2008; Bochenski et al., 2010, 2011, 2013, 2014a, 2014b; Tomek et al., 2014; Mayr and Bochenski, 2016).
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